IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

TUESDAY, THE 2ND JANUARY 2007 / 12TH POUSHA 1928

WP(C).No. 33954 of 2006(P)

PETITIONER:

K.S.SANALKUMAR,
PAZHAMPALLIKKAVU COLONY, VALAMANGALAM SOUTH,
P.O.THURAVOOR, CHERTHALA.

BY ADV. SRI.K.JAJU BABU
SMT.M.U.VIJAYALAKSHMI
SRI.BRIJESH MOHAN
SRI.T.R.SADEESAN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE DISTRICT SUPPLY OFFICER,
ALAPPUZHA.

2. THE TALUK SUPPLY OFFICER,
CHERTHALA.

BY G.P. I.V. PRAMOD

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 02/01/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR,J.

W.P.C.NO. 33954 OF 2006

Dated this the 2nd day of January, 2007

JUDGMENT

Petitioner is a ration card holder who has approached this Court for direction to the respondents not to shift his card to another ARD after cancellation of licence of the licensee to which petitioner is presently attached. Government Pleader rightly pointed out that shifting is done only to enable the card holders to continuously avail the benefit. It is upto the petitioner to collect ration articles from the licensee to which petitioner's ration card is shifted after cancellation of licence of the present licensee. In any case, in order to avoid difficulties for ration card holders, respondents are bound to arrange substituted dealer after licence of present ARD is cancelled. First respondent is directed to take steps for appointment of substituted dealer in the place of cancelled licensee without any delay. Petitioner will produce copy of this judgment before the first respondent for compliance.

W.P. is disposed of as above.

(C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR)
Judge